



UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE : Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE 05/09/96 FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. GUGLIELMI G M203D-D

DANIEL L DAWES 5252 KENILWORTH DRIVE HUNTINGTON BEACH CA 92649

33M1/0421

EX	MINER
COHEN	. L
	•
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3311	11
	4

DATE MAILED:

04/21/97

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecu accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	tion as to the merits is closed in	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond with the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained.	month(s), or thirty days, nin the period for response will cause lained under the provisions of 37 CFR	
Disposition of Claims		
P Claim(s) 25-34	is/are pending in the application	
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)	is/ara allowed *	
12 Claim(s) 25-34	is/are rejected	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to	
☐ Claimsare s		
Application Papers	and the second of the second s	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are objections	ted to by the Evaminer	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	upproved La disapproved.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	- '	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents ha		
received.		
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)		
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule		
*Certified copies not received:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
- Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(8)		
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892		
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	>	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
The second secon		

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES --

Serial No. 08/647,114 Art Unit 3311

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obvious-type or non-obvious-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornam, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78 (d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a Terminal Disclaimer. A Terminal Disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 25-31 and 34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 13-23 of U. S. Patent No. 5,122,136 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a wire for forming an occlusion.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The filament embodiment of Figure 6 was not originally disclosed to include a metal coil forming a cylindrical or conical envelope.

Serial No. 08/647,114 Art Unit 3311

822 41.4191 Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particular point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim should relate to the diameter of the coil and the claimed range is inconsistent with the specification.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 25-31 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Ritchart et al.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited art discloses similar coils.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lee S. Cohen at telephone number (703) 308-2998.

LEE S. COHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3300

L. Cohen:lf April 16, 1997